

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ĺ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
١	10/047,550	01/15/2002	Gregory D. Miller	SLM-06100	5291
	7	590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A	
	Thomas B. H.				
	Haverstock & (162 North Wol			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Sunnyvale, CA 94086			1731	
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

() and () *	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/047,550	MILLER, GREGORY D.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
· - _ ·-							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority accuments have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1731

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 435.
 - II. Claims 23 and 24, drawn to a structure, classified in class 501.
 - III. Claims 25-31, drawn to a harmonic generator, classified in class 331.
 - IV. Claim 32, drawn to a method of generating a wave, classified in class 359.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group III/IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions.
- 3. Inventions Group II and Group III/IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions.
- 4. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different process

Art Unit: 1731

such as one which produces a product form a material which does not exhibit the recited spontaneous domain reversal.

- 5. Inventions Group III and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product such as one wherein the light is focused in another manner.
- 6. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains language which may be implied (i.e. ...is disclosed"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 1731

Throughout the specification, "Ferroelectric" should be changed to "ferroelectric".

Appropriate correction is required.

9. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Throughout the claims, "Ferroelectric" should be changed to "ferroelectric".

Appropriate correction is required.

- 10. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- Claims 2-22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a method for generating a domain patterned ferroelectric structure. Each of the references cited on the PTOL 892 teaches a ferroelectric material having a conductive layer on opposite surfaces thereof. None of these references however teach the top and bottom surfaces correspond to surfaces substantially normal tothe z-

Art Unit: 1731

Page 5

polarization vectors and the applying first and second bias voltages across the conductive layers to pole the z-polarization vectors to first and second orientations.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6;30am-3;00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731